

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP

David Boies (admitted pro hac vice)
333 Main Street
Armonk, NY 10504
Tel.: (914) 749-8200
dboies@bsfllp.com

Mark C. Mao, CA Bar No. 236165
Beko Reblitz-Richardson, CA Bar No. 238027
44 Montgomery St., 41st Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Tel.: (415) 293-6800
mmao@bsfllp.com
brichardson@bsfllp.com

James Lee (admitted pro hac vice)
Rossana Baeza (admitted pro hac vice)
100 SE 2nd St., 28th Floor
Miami, FL 33131
Tel.: (305) 539-8400
jlee@bsfllp.com
rbaeza@bsfllp.com

Alison L. Anderson, CA Bar No. 275334
M. Logan Wright, CA Bar No. 349004
2029 Century Park East, Suite 1520
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel.: (213) 995-5720
alanderson@bsfllp.com
mwright@bsfllp.com

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ANIBAL RODRIGUEZ, SAL CATALDO,
JULIAN SANTIAGO, and SUSAN LYNN
HARVEY, individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.

Bill Carmody (admitted pro hac vice)
Shawn J. Rabin (admitted pro hac vice)
Steven M. Shepard (admitted pro hac vice)
Alexander Frawley (admitted pro hac vice)
Ryan Sila (admitted pro hac vice)
One Manhattan West, 50th Floor
New York, NY 10001
Tel.: (212) 336-8330
bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com
srabin@susmangodfrey.com
sshepard@susmangodfrey.com
afrawley@susmangodfrey.com
rsila@susmangodfrey.com

Amanda K. Bonn, CA Bar No. 270891
1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel.: (310) 789-3100
abonn@susmangodfrey.com

MORGAN & MORGAN

John A. Yanchunis (admitted pro hac vice)
Ryan J. McGee (admitted pro hac vice)
Michael F. Ram, CA Bar No. 104805
201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
Tampa, FL 33602
Tel.: (813) 223-5505
jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
rmcgee@forthepeople.com
mram@forthepeople.com

Case No.: 3:20-cv-04688-RS

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
GOOGLE'S MOTION *IN LIMINE* NO. 15
TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF
ALTERNATIVE UNJUST
ENRICHMENT CALCULATIONS**

The Honorable Richard Seeborg

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Before the Court is Google's Motion *in Limine* No. 15 to Exclude Evidence of Alternative Unjust Enrichment Calculations. Having considered the Motion, Plaintiffs' Opposition, and other matters of record, the Court concludes that the evidence at issue may be presented at trial. Google's Motion is therefore **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____

Honorable Richard Seeborg
Chief United States District Judge